



Republic of Serbia
REGULATORY AUTHORITY
FOR ELECTRONIC MEDIA

Number: 81/2025/I

Date: 28/02/2025

Belgrade 127/2025

Report of the Monitoring and Analysis Service of the REM - Ex officio procedure

	<p>Complainant: Ex officio procedure. Concerning the above content, a notification was received from Mima Mišljenović, legal representative of the Autonomous Women's Centre (registered under number 81/2025) and the association FemPlatz (registered under number 127/2025).</p> <p>Against: TV Pink</p> <p>Summary: Alleged violations of the obligation to respect human rights and prohibition of hate speech, as well as the principles of truthfulness and objectivity.</p> <p>Monitoring period: 18/01/2025 from 16:53 to 18:29, <i>Magazin in</i> show.</p>
Report	<p>Broadcast time: 18. 01. 2025 from 16:53 to 18:29</p> <p>Broadcast: <i>Magazin in</i>.</p> <p><i>Magazin in</i> is an author's entertainment programme show (type of content) of the host Sonja Marinković, in the form of a discussion in the studio with multiple guests, in which personal views of the host and the guests in the studio are presented.</p> <p>An examination of the video material found that the reports accurately quoted the disputed statements of the participants in the relevant show. The complainant believed that the disputed statements justified violence against women, this violating the Council of Europe Convention on Preventing and Combating Violence against Women and Domestic Violence, ratified by Serbia in 2013, the Law on Electronic Media, which prescribed the obligation to respect human rights and the prohibition of hate speech, and the Rulebook on the Protection of Human Rights in the Area of Media Service Provision, which requires the truthfulness and objectivity of information.</p> <p>The guest, lawyer Zora Dobričanin Nikodijević presented her personal views based on her court practice, presenting various examples related to the operation of NGOs and activists of</p>

	<p>Women's Safe Houses.</p> <p>It is unclear how the disputed descriptions, according to the allegations in the notification, violated the Council of Europe Convention on Preventing and Combating Violence against Women, especially as Dobričanin stated explicitly the following: “Physical violence is illegal and I absolutely do not support any aspect of physical violence, but there are also cases where it is being proven and where it has been proven that physical violence occurred due to the constant psychological violence perpetrated by a woman... No to physical violence against women. No to physical violence against men. No to psychological violence against women, no to psychological violence against men. No to provocation.”</p> <p>Given that these are specific occurrences and specific behaviours of specific women and men from court practice (“there are cases where it is being proven and where it has been proven that physical violence occurred due to the constant psychological violence perpetrated by a woman”), the statement cannot be interpreted as referring generally to women or men, i.e. as discrimination due to personal characteristics, therefore, there are no elements of hate speech.</p> <p>Pointing to a violation of the Rulebook on the Protection of Human Rights in the Area of Media Service Provision, which requires the truthfulness and objectivity of information, is not well-grounded, because it was not a news and current affairs programme but an entertainment programme, in which the guests and the host presented personal views on the topic of the show, to which they are entitled based on a series of regulations and declarations on the protection of freedom of expression, even when the views are extreme and the expression vulgar and offensive, which is not the case in the said show.</p>
<p>Conclusion</p>	<p>Potential violation: no violations of the provisions of media laws and bylaws.</p>